

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 130-152 are now pending in the application.

### **Obviousness-type Double Patenting Rejections**

Claims 130-131 and 133-149 have been rejected based on the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,914,054.

Claims 130, 132-146, and 150-152 have been provisionally rejected based on the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over claims 89-169 of co-pending Application No. 10/602,136.

Claims 130-131 and 133-149 have been provisionally rejected based on the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over claims 89-177 of co-pending Application No. 10/602,142.

Claims 130-131 and 133-149 of the present application have been provisionally rejected based on the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over claims 89-182 and 197 of co-pending application no. 10/602,976.

Applicants enclose Terminal Disclaimers to overcome these rejection.

### Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that the current response with the submission of Terminal Disclaimers overcomes the Examiner's rejections. Withdrawal of the rejections is therefore respectfully requested. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiency associated with this filing to Deposit Account 11-0980.

Respectfully submitted,

By:   
Rebecca J. Kaufman  
Reg. No. 44,819

Date: October 2, 2006

KING & SPALDING LLP  
1180 Peachtree Street  
34<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Atlanta, Georgia 30309  
Tel.: (404) 572-3541